

# When Fat Mobilization Exceeds Elimination

ABSURD HEALTH INSTITUTE · 05 · Fasting, environmental exposure and metabolic recovery · 15 OCTOBER 2026

---

## Abstract

Adipose tissue stores triglycerides and can accumulate certain lipophilic environmental contaminants. During weight loss, mobilization of tissue stores may alter circulating concentrations of some compounds. This paper proposes a rate-mismatch framework in which release, redistribution, metabolism and excretion occur on different timescales. It does not infer that rapid fasting universally “flushes toxins” or that all metals are stored in fat.

## The Clinical Question and Its Context

Mobilization of stored triglycerides changes plasma free-fatty-acid flux. Persistent organic pollutants can partition into adipose tissue and may be redistributed during weight loss; their measured blood concentrations can change for reasons that include reduced fat mass and altered volume of distribution. Metals such as lead have prominent skeletal storage, and other toxicants follow their own chemistry. Therefore, “stored toxins” must always name the actual substance and biologic compartment.

## Proposed Biological or Explanatory Model

A useful model separates the amount mobilized from the amount metabolized, bound, excreted or redeposited. It predicts that accelerated fat loss may transiently change blood exposure for certain lipophilic pollutants without proving clinical toxicity or net clearance. Hepatic function, plasma proteins, kidney excretion, gut recycling and time since exposure complicate interpretation. The relationship could be nonlinear and pollutant-specific rather than determined by the number of pounds lost.

## Mechanism-Specific Interpretation

Redistribution can masquerade as elimination or new exposure, depending on which compartment is measured. A lipophilic compound may rise in plasma during changes in adiposity while its total body burden follows a different trajectory. Some toxicants partition into blood lipids; reporting their concentration without lipid adjustment may distort interpretation. A favorable clinical symptom change after fasting likewise does not prove that a named pollutant left the body. This creates a crucial distinction among release from stores, redistribution to another compartment, metabolism into a different species and final excretion. Each step may require a different assay to establish it.

## Competing Explanations and Limits of Inference

Increased plasma concentration does not automatically establish new tissue injury, and a decline in total body fat does not guarantee removal of a chemical from the body. Studies of weight-loss exposures can be confounded by changing diet, background contamination and altered circulating lipid concentration. Claims that every symptom during fasting is “detox” risk missing dehydration, hypoglycemia, medication problems or electrolyte disturbances.

## Discriminating Observations and Evidence Thresholds

The hypothesis would gain substance from compound-specific measurements before, during and long after weight loss together with body composition and exposure controls. It would weaken if purported “detox” symptoms failed to correlate with any measurable chemical or recognized physiologic abnormality. Without those checks, refeeding complications or electrolyte problems could be dangerously mislabeled as evidence that elimination is progressing.

## Implications for Interpretation and Future Inquiry

The paper's contribution is a disciplined model of toxicokinetics. It recommends neither extreme fasting nor “binders” as general detoxification treatment. If environmental exposure is suspected, species-specific testing, toxicology expertise and evidence-based management are needed; vague cleansing protocols cannot replace them.

## Selected Evidence and Further Reading

1. [Liu et al. Calorie Restriction with or without Time-Restricted Eating in Weight Loss](#)
2. [Bile acids: regulation of synthesis](#)

Source lineage: Absurd Fast; Resurrection Biology; environmental chemical storage investigation

Editorial note: Conceptual scientific commentary; not an original clinical trial, systematic review, peer-reviewed publication, or medical advice. Hypotheses are not established treatment effects.