

Life Without a Gallbladder

ABSURD HEALTH INSTITUTE · 03 · Hepatobiliary, cholesterol and endocrine physiology · 15 OCTOBER 2026

Abstract

Cholecystectomy changes bile storage and timed delivery, but it does not stop the liver from synthesizing bile acids. This paper explores the physiologic consequences of removing the reservoir, including altered enterohepatic timing, possible bile-acid diarrhea and food-related symptoms. It challenges two opposing misconceptions: that digestion is entirely unchanged and that the body becomes incapable of handling dietary fat.

The Clinical Question and Its Context

The gallbladder concentrates and stores bile, releasing it during meals through hormonal and neural regulation. After removal, hepatic bile can enter the intestine more continuously, with adaptation over time. Some people develop diarrhea consistent with excess bile acids reaching the colon, while others have postoperative symptoms caused by unrelated functional or structural gastrointestinal conditions. Common laboratory and imaging findings often do not directly quantify bile-acid flux.

Proposed Biological or Explanatory Model

The mechanistic question is not merely how much bile exists but when and where it enters the intestinal lumen relative to food and small-bowel transport. Changes in meal size, fat quantity and transit may influence symptoms, though individual responses vary. Bile-acid sequestrants are used for properly diagnosed bile-acid diarrhea; that is mechanistically different from adding bile salts for assumed maldigestion. Some patients need investigation for retained stones, sphincter disorders or pancreatic disease.

Mechanism-Specific Interpretation

The physiologic distinction between bile production and bile storage deserves emphasis. Cholecystectomy removes the concentrator and reservoir, not the hepatic production apparatus. Continuous bile delivery may be sufficient for ordinary digestion yet fail to match some eating patterns in certain patients. Conversely, excessive bile acids reaching the colon can drive diarrhea, meaning that simply increasing bile exposure would be the wrong intervention in that phenotype. These two directions illustrate why a single postoperative protocol cannot be biologically correct for everyone. A good paper should map symptoms onto actual digestive mechanisms rather than assume all complaints originate from deficient bile.

Competing Explanations and Limits of Inference

A frequent logical error is extrapolating from postoperative digestive symptoms to endocrine collapse or inevitable brain dysfunction. The body maintains multiple independent sources of cholesterol and hormones after cholecystectomy. Conversely, dismissing persistent symptoms as imaginary because surgery was technically successful can also be mistaken.

Discriminating Observations and Evidence Thresholds

The clearest discriminating clinical observation is response to a correctly diagnosed bile-acid diarrhea treatment, considered alongside appropriate evaluation for structural complications or other gastrointestinal disease. A nonspecific symptom response after adding bitters or salts does not establish gallbladder-related hormone deficiency. Treatment should follow the identified mechanism.

Implications for Interpretation and Future Inquiry

This paper should explain normal adaptation, specific postoperative syndromes and why targeted evaluation matters. The core educational message is that the gallbladder is an important regulatory organ, but its absence does not establish systemic toxicity or mandate a particular animal-fat-heavy eating pattern.

Selected Evidence and Further Reading

1. [Recent Advances in the Digestive, Metabolic and Therapeutic Effects of FXR and FGF19](#)
2. [Bile acids: regulation of synthesis](#)

Source lineage: Parkinson case discussion; gastrointestinal and biliary research

Editorial note: Conceptual scientific commentary; not an original clinical trial, systematic review, peer-reviewed publication, or medical advice. Hypotheses are not established treatment effects.